Document 1

Case 3:08-cv-01136-J-WMC

Page 1 of 9

Filed 06/25/2008

Pierro v. Young, et al. Complaint

3.		PLAINTIFF	is informed	and thereon	alleges	that at	all times	relevan
hereto, c	defer	dants JOSH	UA YOUNG	("YOUNG"	) and JO	HN CL	ARK ("C	LARK"
were res	siden	ts of the Cou	inty of San I	Diego, State o	of Califor	nia, and	l were wo	rking a
police of	ffice	rs for the Cit	y of Oceansid	de, California	<b>l.</b>			

- 4. The City of Oceanside, California is a municipality and public entity under the laws of the State of California and is the employer of defendants YOUNG and CLARK. The individual defendants, and each of them, acted under color of law with all actions and inactions described herein.
- 5. At all times mentioned herein defendants DOES 1through 20 (hereinafter "DEFENDANTS") were employees of the City of Oceanside, California and in doing the acts hereinafter described acted under color of law with all actions and inactions described herein. The acts of all DEFENDANTS and each of them, were also done under the color and pretense of the statutes, ordinances, regulations, customs and usages of the State of California.
- 6. The true names or capacities whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise, of defendants named herein as DOES 1 through 20 are unknown to PLAINTIFF, who therefore sues said defendants by said fictitious names. PLAINTIFF will amend this complaint to show said defendants' true names and capacities when the same have been ascertained. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes and thereon alleges, that all defendants sued herein as DOES are in some manner responsible for the acts and injuries alleged herein.
- 7. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes and therefore alleges that at all times mentioned herein each of the defendants was the agent, servant and/or employee of each of the remaining defendants and was, in doing the acts herein alleged, acting within the course and scope of this agency and/or employment and with the permission, consent and authority of their co-defendants and each of them, and each is responsible in some manner for the occurrences hereinafter alleged; and that PLAINTIFF's injuries were legally caused by the actions of each.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

### **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS:**

- On the evening of Thursday June 29, 2006, Robert Pierro was driving a 8. Cadillac Escalade (the "VEHICLE") in Oceanside, California near Carlsbad Boulevard. PLAINTIFF, Robert Pierro's brother, was riding in the passenger seat.
- At approximately 11:30 p.m a police vehicle driven by Oceanside, 9. California police officer YOUNG stopped and detained Robert Pierro's VEHICLE. YOUNG approached the VEHICLE from the driver side and requested Robert Pierro's drivers license and registration. Thereafter, YOUNG asked Robert Pierro to step out of the VEHICLE and YOUNG administered a field sobriety test. PLAINTIFF remained in the passenger seat of the VEHICLE.
- As YOUNG administered the field sobriety test, another Oceanside police vehicle arrived on the scene for backup. This vehicle was driven by CLARK, another Officer from the Oceanside, California Police Department.
- After administering the field sobriety test, YOUNG arrested Robert Pierro 11. on suspicion of driving under the influence of alcohol. Thereafter, Robert Pierro was handcuffed and transported by CLARK to his vehicle. Robert Pierro never complained of his arrest, argued with or threatened YOUNG or CLARK.
- After YOUNG told PLAINTIFF that his brother was under arrest for 12. "DUI", PLAINTIFF began to plead with the officers not to arrest his brother.
- As CLARK was escorting Robert Pierro to his patrol vehicle, YOUNG remained at the passenger side window talking with PLAINTIFF who continued to plead with YOUNG to release his brother.
- PLAINTIFF then attempted to exit the VEHICLE by opening the passenger 14. door. YOUNG ordered PLAINTIFF to remain in the VEHICLE and placed his hands upon the door. PLAINTIFF complied. After being told to remain in the VEHICLE, PLAINTIFF kept his hands visibly placed upon the dashboard.

27

///

///

22

- 15. After YOUNG noticed that CLARK had secured Robert Pierro in his patrol vehicle and was returning, YOUNG ordered PLAINTIFF to exit the VEHICLE. PLAINTIFF complied by opening the passenger side door and stepping out of the VEHICLE. As PLAINTIFF stepped out onto the sidewalk, he raised his hands above his head.
- Almost immediately after stepping onto the sidewalk, as ordered by officer 16. YOUNG, officer CLARK charged PLAINTIFF from behind and jumped on him. YOUNG punched PLAINTIFF in the back of the head, grabbed PLAINTIFF and tackled him. CLARK and YOUNG then repeatedly punched and kicked PLAINTIFF, striking him in the head repeatedly with their fists and feet. PLAINTIFF lay helpless on the sidewalk while YOUNG and CLARK attacked him. PLAINTIFF suffered multiple lacerations and cuts which bled profusely from wounds suffered during the attack. PLAINTIFF was emotionally traumatized and humiliated as a result of the beating. PLAINTIFF was arrested and charged with violation of California Penal Code Section 148(a)(1), resisting arrest. Unbeknownst to CLARK and YOUNG, the entire episode described above was recorded on video tape by a security camera and will be presented at trial.
- 17. On January 31, 2007, PLAINTIFF was acquitted of violating P.C. Section 148(a)(1).

## **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

## [42 U.S.C. § 1983 Civil Rights Violations]

- PLAINTIFF hereby re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 17, inclusive, and 18. incorporates them herein by said reference as though set forth in full.
- The acts alleged above, particularly the acts by defendants YOUNG and 19. CLARK of attacking and beating an innocent, unarmed man multiple times without justification or necessity, constituted an excessive and unreasonable use of force and thus an unlawful seizure, in violation of PLAINTIFF'S rights guaranteed by the United States Constitution, particularly the Fourth Amendment thereto. PLAINTIFF is

6

11

15

21

therefore entitled to damages pursuant to Title 42 U.S.C. Section 1983, et seq.

- Further, by the acts alleged above, defendants YOUNG and CLARK 20. unconstitutionally retaliated against PLAINTIFF because he questioned police conduct. YOUNG and CLARK'S retaliatory attack and beating of PLAINTIFF violated PLAINTIFF'S rights guaranteed by the United States Constitution, particularly the First Amendment thereto. PLAINTIFF is therefore entitled to damages pursuant to Title 42 U.S.C. Section 1983, et seq.
- As a result of this attack as described above, PLAINTIFF suffered injuries 21. requiring medical care. PLAINTIFF has also suffered, and will continue to suffer, pain, humiliation, embarrassment and severe emotional distress, lost earnings and lost opportunity all to his damage in an amount to be proven at trial.
- In committing the acts alleged above, defendants YOUNG and CLARK 22. acted maliciously and/or were guilty of a wanton and reckless disregard for the rights and safety of PLAINTIFF, and by reason thereof, PLAINTIFF demands exemplary and punitive damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

## **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

# SUPERVISORY LIABILITY FOR VIOLATION OF FEDERAL CIVIL RIGHTS [42 U.S.C. § 1983]

- PLAINTIFF hereby re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 22, inclusive, and 23. incorporates them herein by said reference as though set forth in full.
- DOE'S 1 20's action and inactions, as described herein, evince DOE'S 1-20's failure to properly supervise and train YOUNG, CLARK and other subordinate officers, and that this failure was deliberately indifferent to the probable consequences or constituted and implicit authorization of such consequences. At all times relevant herein, DOE'S 1-20 had notice of YOUNG and CLARK'S propensity to use excessive force against members of the public, yet allowed YOUNG and CLARK to continue engaging in such unlawful behavior with impunity. YOUNG and CLARK'S use of excessive force against PLAINTIFF was the natural and direct consequence of DOE'S

2

456

7 8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

25

2627

28

1-20's actions and inactions, as described herein.

25. As a direct and proximate result of DOE'S 1-20's inexcusable deliberate indifference and implicit authorization of YOUNG and CLARK'S use of excessive force, PLAINTIFF has suffered injuries requiring medical care. PLAINTIFF has also suffered, and will continue to suffer, pain, humiliation, embarrassment and severe emotional distress, lost earnings and lost opportunity all to his damage in an amount to be proven at trial.

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF prays for judgment against DEFENDANTS, and each of them, as follows:

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 1. For general and compensatory damages against defendants and each of them in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 2. For exemplary and punitive damages against defendants and each of them in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 3. For costs of suit herein, including reasonable attorney's fees; and
- 4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.

## **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

- 1. For general and compensatory damages against defendants and each of them in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 2. For costs of suit herein, including reasonable attorney's fees; and
- 3. For such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.

Dated: June 25, 2008

GARRISON & McINNIS, L.L.P.

By:

Gregory M. Garrison, Attorneys for Plaintiff GREGORY PIERRO

## **DEMAND FOR JURY**

Plaintiff GREGORY PIERRO hereby demands a jury trial in this action.

Dated: June 25, 2008

GARRISON & McINNIS, L.L.P.

By:

Gregory M/Garrison, Attorneys for Plaintiff GREGORY PIERRO

K:\CLIENTS\Pierro\Pleading\Complaint.wpd

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO DIVISION

# 152296 - TC \* \* C O P Y \* \* June 25, 2008 14:34:41

#### Civ Fil Non-Pris

USAO #.: 08CV1136

Judge..: NAPOLEON A JONES, JR

\$350.00 CK Amount.:

Check#.: BC57605

Total-> \$350.00

FROM: GREGORY PIERRO

VS.

JOSHUA YOUNG ET AL.

<b>©</b> JS 44	(Rev. 12/07)		CIVIL CO	OVER SHEET	in the second	₹.						
The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of bladdings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 19.7.4, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)												
I. (a)	PLAINTIFFS			BERENDANTSP	2.36							
` '	ORY PIERRO			J JOSHUA YOUN	G, an individual, JOH ough 20, inclusive,	IN CLARK, an individual, ∎						
(b)	•	of First Listed Plaintiff XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	SES)	County of Residence of	First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PHATNTIFF CASES OF CONDEMNATION CASES, US NVOLVED.							
(c)	Attorney's (Firm Name	, Address, and Telephone Numbe	ar)	Attorneys (If Known)	,	·n -						
		P. Telephone: (619) orth, Suite 108 San D		208 CV 1	.136 J W	MC						
II. B	ASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PI	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff						
	J.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N		(For Diversity Cases Only) PT Citizen of This State		and One Box for Defendant)  TF DEF incipal Place						
<b>□</b> 2 U	J.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship)	p of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2							
<del></del>				Citizen or Subject of a  Foreign Country	3 3 Foreign Nation	<b>0606</b>						
	ATURE OF SUI											
	CONTRACT 36 7 7 7	n i nim sa Jan Daari dan il <b>TOR</b>		1								
120 M   130 M   140 M   150 M   150 M   150 M   151 M   152 M   160	Miller Act Negotiable Instrument Negotiable Instrument Negotiable Instrument Nedoticare Act Negotiable Instrument Nedicare Act Necovery of Defaulted Negotiable Instrument Necovery of Overpayment Of Veteran's Benefits Netockholders' Suits Other Contract	□ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 444 Welfare □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	PERSONAL INJURY  362 Personal Injury -	620 Other Food & Drug     625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881     630 Liquor Laws     640 R.R. & Truck     650 Airline Regs.     660 Occupational Safety/Health     690 Other     710 Fair Labor Standards Act     720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations     730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act     740 Railway Labor Act     790 Other Labor Litigation     791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	422 Appeal 28 USC 158   28 USC 157   28 USC 157   28 USC 157   28 USC 157   30 Patent   340 Trademark   361 HIA (1395ff)   362 Black Lung (923)   363 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))   365 RSI (405(g))   365 RSI (405(g))   370 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)   371 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts						
<b>1</b> 1 0	Original 🗖 2 R	ate Court	Appellate Court	Reopened (specif	erred from 6 Multidistr district Litigation							
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION  Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):  Brief description of cause:  Violation of Civil Rights												
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:  COMPLAINT: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23  JURY DEMAND:  Yes  No												
VIII.	RELATED CAS	(See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER							
DATE FOR O	6/25/0	7	SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY OF RECORD								

JUDGE

RECEIPT # 15209U AMOUNT \$350 APPLYING IPP